

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

NORRIS WILLIAMSON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. CIV-12-60-M
	)	
MYSTIC LAKE CASINO HOTEL,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this action which allegedly seeks relief for certain wrongs committed by defendant. Pursuant to the directives of 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the Court has reviewed the allegations of the Complaint. Of particular relevance is subpart (e)(2) of § 1915, which states:

Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that –

\* \* \*

(B) the action or appeal –

- (i) is frivolous or malicious;
- (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or
- (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

Further, the Court is mindful that *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), requires a liberal construction of *pro se* complaints. However, the Court is not required to imagine or assume facts in order to permit a complaint to survive. *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1109-10 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991).

The Complaint states, in its entirety:

Discrimination of my psyhical [sic] & spritual [sic] rights by theft and assult [sic] to hide the property of anothers persons, person . . . with intent to kill and or destroy, which I was bar-ed from with robbery; being striped of spirits.

Complaint [docket no. 1]. Applying the standards set forth above, the Court finds the Complaint must be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), as it fails to state a claim for relief.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), this action is hereby DISMISSED.

**IT IS SO ORDERED this 25th day of January, 2012.**



VICKI MILES-LaGRANGE  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE